

Computations About the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture for Modular Abelian Varieties

William Stein

<http://modular.fas.harvard.edu>

IHP MAGMA Workshop: October 7, 2004

Abstract

In this talk I will describe the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture in the case of modular abelian varieties and how to use **MAGMA** to do computations with the quantities that appear in this conjecture. I will focus on how to do such computations in **MAGMA**, and will say little about the general results of the computations I've run over the years or theoretical results about the conjecture.

1 Newform Abelian Varieties A_f

They are specified by giving a newform $f = \sum a_n q^n \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$. Let $I_f = \text{Ann}_{\mathbf{T}}(f)$. The connected component $A_f = J_0(N)[I_f]^0$ is an abelian variety over \mathbf{Q} . We have $\dim(A_f) = [\mathbf{Q}(a_2, a_3, \dots) : \mathbf{Q}]$ and $\text{End}(A_f) \otimes \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}(a_2, a_3, \dots)$.

Listing 1.1 (Newform Abelian Varieties).

```
> J0 := JZero; // personal customization...
> J := J0(37);
> S := CuspForms(37); // defaults: k=2, trivial character
> N := Newforms(S); N;
[* [* q - 2*q^2 - 3*q^3 + 2*q^4 - 2*q^5 + 6*q^6 - q^7 + 0(q^8) *],
  [* q + q^3 - 2*q^4 - q^7 + 0(q^8) *] *]
> f := N[1][1];
> A_f := ModularAbelianVariety(f); A_f;
Modular abelian variety Af of dimension 1 and level 37 over Q
> E := EllipticCurve(A_f); E;
Elliptic Curve defined by y^2 + y = x^3 - x over Rational Field
```

Listing 1.2 (More Newform Abelian Varieties...).

```
> J := J0(389);           // J_0(389)
> D := Decomposition(J); D; // contains the A_f's
[ Modular abelian variety 389A of dimension 1, level 389 and
  conductor 389 over Q,
  Modular abelian variety 389B of dimension 2, level 389 and
  conductor 389^2 over Q,
  Modular abelian variety 389C of dimension 3, level 389 and
  conductor 389^3 over Q,
  Modular abelian variety 389D of dimension 6, level 389 and
  conductor 389^6 over Q,
  Modular abelian variety 389E of dimension 20, level 389 and
  conductor 389^20 over Q
]
> EllipticCurve(D[1]);
Elliptic Curve defined by y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2*x over Rational Field
> EllipticCurve(D[2]);
... Runtime error in 'EllipticCurve': Argument 1 must have dimension 1.
```

Remark. BSD for all modular abelian varieties over \mathbb{Q} \iff BSD for all A_f with $f \in S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$.

2 The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture

Conjecture (BSD-rank): $r := \text{ord}_{s=1} L(A_f, s) = \text{rank } A_f(\mathbf{Q})$.

Conjecture (BSD-formula): Set $A := A_f$, for some f . Then

$$\frac{L^{(r)}(A, 1)}{r!} = \frac{\prod_{p|N} c_p \cdot \Omega_A \cdot \text{Reg}_A}{\#A(\mathbf{Q})_{\text{tor}} \cdot \#A^\vee(\mathbf{Q})_{\text{tor}}} \cdot \#\text{III}(A).$$

Here $\implies \implies$

1. $L(A, s) = \prod_{\sigma} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\sigma(a_n)}{n^s} \right)$
2. $\#A(\mathbf{Q})_{\text{tor}}, \#A^\vee(\mathbf{Q})_{\text{tor}}$ – torsion orders
3. c_p – Tamagawa numbers for primes $p \mid N$.
4. Ω_A – The integral $\int_{A(\mathbf{R})} \omega$.
5. Reg_A – regulator of A
6. $\text{III}(A) = \ker(\text{H}^1(\mathbf{Q}, A) \rightarrow \bigoplus \text{H}^1(\mathbf{Q}_v, A))$ – Shafarevich-Tate group

Motivating Problem. Given f , compute all quantities in this conjecture.

3 Computing The L -Series

Listing 3.1 (*L-series of the elliptic curve factor*).

```
> D := Decomposition(J0(389));
> E := D[1]; E;
Modular abelian variety 389A of dimension 1, level 389 and conductor 389 over Q
> L := LSeries(E);
> alpha, r := LeadingCoefficient(L,1,300);
> alpha; --> 0.75931650029224679065762600319
> r; --> 2
> EE := EllipticCurve(E);
> AnalyticRank(EE); // Watkins
2 0.7593000000
> Rank(EE); // so BSD-rank true for E
2
```

Listing 3.2 (*L-series of two-dimensional factor*).

```
> D := Decomposition(J0(389));
> B := D[2]; B;          // dimension 2
Modular abelian variety 389B of dimension 2, level 389 and conductor 389^2 over Q
> L := LSeries(B);
> time alpha, r := LeadingCoefficient(L,1,300);
Time: 0.170
> alpha;
1.487184621319347115775638940885
> r;
2      // equals dim(B), so Kolyvagin-Logachev implies BSD-rank
```

Listing 3.3 (The twenty-dimensional simple factor!!).

```
> D := Decomposition(J0(389));
> A := D[5]; A;          // dimension 20 !!
Modular abelian variety 389E of dimension 20, level 389 and
conductor 389^20 over Q
> L := LSeries(A); L;
L(389E,s): L-series of Modular abelian variety 389E of dimension
20, level 389 and conductor 389^20 over Q
> alpha, r := LeadingCoefficient(L,1,300);      // takes a while
> alpha;
2300.74238082371353307813447937329 + 0.E-25*i
> r;
0          // so Kolyvagin-Logachev implies BSD-rank (=0)
> LeadingCoefficient(L,1,350);
2313.363547793384317135564620832928 + 0.E-25*i 0
```

(mention bug...)

4 Computing the Ratio $L(A, 1)/\Omega_A$

When $r = 0$, (bsd-formula) is

$$\frac{L(A, 1)}{\Omega_A} = \frac{\prod_{p|N} c_p}{\#A(\mathbf{Q})_{\text{tor}} \cdot \#A^\vee(\mathbf{Q})_{\text{tor}}} \cdot \#\text{III}(A).$$

For $A = A_f$, the command `LRatio(L, 1)` computes the exact rational number

$$c \cdot \frac{L(A^\vee, 1)}{\Omega_{A^\vee}} \in \mathbf{Q},$$

where c is the “Manin constant” of A , i.e., the index of $H_1(\mathcal{A}^\vee, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^\vee/\mathbf{Z}})$ in $H_1(A, \Omega_{A/\mathbf{Q}}) \cap \mathbf{Z}[[q]]$. (Here \mathcal{A} is the Néron model.)

Theorem (Agashe, Stein). We have (1) $c \in \mathbf{Z}$ and (2) that $p \mid c \implies p^2 \mid 4N$.

Conjecture (Agashe, Stein). $c = 1$ for all $A = A_f$.

Listing 4.1 (The L-Ratio).

```
> D := Decomposition(J0(389));  
> [<Dimension(A), LRatio(LSeries(Dual(A)), 1)> : A in D];  
[ <1, 0>, <2, 0>, <3, 0>, <6, 0>, <20, 51200/97> ]
```

Remark. The BSD conjecture predicts that $L(A, 1)/\Omega_A = L(A^\vee, 1)/\Omega_{A^\vee}$, since $L(A, s) = L(A^\vee, s)$, $\#\text{III}(A) = \#\text{III}(A^\vee)$, and likewise for Reg_A and (I think!) the c_p .

5 The Order of the Torsion Subgroup

Torsion Multiple

If $p \nmid 2N$ then there is a natural injective homomorphism

$$A(\mathbf{Q})_{\text{tor}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{F}_p).$$

Amazingly, it is straightforward to compute $\#\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{F}_p)$ and $\#\mathcal{A}^\vee(\mathbf{F}_p)$, using the “Eichler-Shimura” formula

$$\#\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{F}_p) = \#\mathcal{A}^\vee(\mathbf{F}_p) = F(p+1),$$

where F is the characteristic polynomial of $a_p = a_p(f)$. We thus obtain a multiple of $\#A(\mathbf{Q})_{\text{tor}}$ and $\#A(\mathbf{Q})_{\text{tor}}^\vee$.

Listing 5.1 (Torsion Multiples).

```
> D := Decomposition(J0(389));  
> [<Dimension(A), TorsionMultiple(A,7)> : A in D];  
[ <1, 1>, <2, 1>, <3, 1>, <6, 1>, <20, 97> ]
```

6 The Order of the Torsion Subgroup

Torsion Divisor

We obtain a divisor of $\#A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$ using that differences of certain cusps lie in $J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$.

Listing 6.1 (Torsion Divisor).

```
> J := J0(389);
> D := Decomposition(J);
> [<Dimension(A), #RationalCuspidalSubgroup(Dual(A))> : A in D];
[ <1, 1>, <2, 1>, <3, 1>, <6, 1>, <20, 97> ] // multiples of torsion for A^dual

> C := RationalCuspidalSubgroup(J) ;
> [<Dimension(A), #(C meet A)> : A in D]; // divisors of torsion order for A
[ <1, 1>, <2, 1>, <3, 1>, <6, 1>, <20, 97> ]
```

Thus $\#A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} = \#A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}^{\vee} = 1$, except for the A of dimension 20 where $\#A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} = \#A^{\vee}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} = 97$.

Remark. RationalCuspidalSubgroup computes the group generated by rational cusps, not the largest \mathbb{Q} -rational subgroup of the group generated by all cusps, which might sometimes give a better bound.

7 Tamagawa Numbers

When $p \mid N$ the Tamagawa number at p is $c_p = \#(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}/\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^0)(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

- When $p \parallel N$, in my thesis I give an algorithm to compute c_p (sometimes only up to a power of 2). This uses Mumford-Tate uniformization (a higher-dimensional analogue of Tate curves), modular degree algorithm, and supersingular points or quaternion algebras.
- When $p^2 \mid N$, Lenstra and Oort proved that so if $\ell \mid c_p$ then $\ell \leq 2 \cdot \dim(A_f) + 1$ or $\ell = p$.

The **TamagawaNumber** command combines all this and returns a divisor d of c_p , an integer m some power of which is a multiple of c_p , and whether or not $d = c_p = m$.

Listing 7.1 (Tamagawa Numbers).

```
> J := J0(389);
> D := Decomposition(J);
> for A in D do print "dim =", Dimension(A), " tam =", TamagawaNumber(A,389); end for;
dim = 1 tam = 1 1 true
dim = 2 tam = 2 2 false
dim = 3 tam = 2 2 false
dim = 6 tam = 2 2 false
dim = 20 tam = 97 97 true
```

8 Tamagawa Numbers

Another Example...

Listing 8.1 (Tamagawa Numbers Example).

```
> J := J0(19*20);
> time D := Decomposition(NewSubvariety(J)); // takes a while (much overhead)
Time: 17.820
> [Dimension(A) : A in D];
[ 1, 1, 2, 2 ]
> A := D[#D]; A; // dimension 2
> TamagawaNumber(A,2);
1 30 false
> TamagawaNumber(A,5); // hard work!
3 3 true
> TamagawaNumber(A,19);
2 2 true
```

Listing 8.2 (Tamagawa Numbers Example (continued)).

```
> B := D[3];    // dimension 2
> TamagawaNumber(B,2);
1 30 false
> TamagawaNumber(B,5);
7 7 true
> TamagawaNumber(B,19);
2 2 true
> E := D[1];    // dim 1
> TamagawaNumber(E,2);    // uses Lenstra-Oort
1 6 false
> TamagawaNumber(E,5);    // uses my algorithm
1 1 false    // ** stupid -- should return true!!
> TamagawaNumber(E,19);    // uses my algorithm
2 2 false
> EE := EllipticCurve(E);
> TamagawaNumber(EE,2);    // uses Tate's algorithm
3
> TamagawaNumber(EE,5);
1
> TamagawaNumber(EE,19);
2
```

9 The Néron Real Volume Ω_A

The Néron volume is $\Omega_A = |\int_{A(\mathbf{R})} \omega|$, where $H^d(\mathcal{A}, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}/\mathbf{Z}}) \approx \mathbf{Z}\omega$.

RealVolume on corresponding modular symbols space (!) computes Ω_{A^v}/c , where c is the Manin constant.

Algorithm: Compute basis for $S_2(\Gamma_0(N), \mathbf{Z})[I_f]$, and integrate against basis of integral modular symbols.

Listing 9.1 (Real Neron Volume).

```
> D := Decomposition(J0(389));
> [<Dimension(A), RealVolume(ModularSymbols(A)[1],200)> : A in D];
[ <1, 4.980354644089219778568132200033753610666>,
  <2, 11.55172924281529305177831848824>,
  <3, 34.87066551027868630219369768960>,
  <6, 244.71906604134159642433584901635>,
  <20, 4.157086696844426469441248597099> ] <--- big 20-dim abvar. is small!
> EE := EllipticCurve(D[1]);
> 2*RealPeriod(EE); // uses Gauss AGM (?)
4.9804251217101101506427155838846049203121163606791400801100
```

10 Regulator of A

$$r = 0 \implies \text{Reg}_A = 1$$

But when $r > 0$, so far I think nobody knows how to compute Reg_A without finding equations for A , finding explicit points, doing “descent”, etc. See [Flynn-Leprévost-Schaefer-Stein-Stoll-Wetherell] for examples of this when $\dim(A) = 2$. This is perhaps hopeless when $\dim(A)$ is large. (If we assume $\#\text{III}(A) = 1$ and the BSD conjecture, we can often compute what Reg_A would be, which could be useful for numerical experiments.)

HOPELESS???

Question: Assume full BSD conjecture is true and $A = A_f$. Give an algorithm to decide whether $p \mid \#\text{III}(A)$. (When $\dim(A) = 1$, Manin proved one can do this, but the general case is unclear to me. I have an idea that uses congruences between modular forms.)

11 Computing Conjectural $\#\text{III}(A)$

Let $\#\text{III}(A)?$ be BSD-conjectural order of $\text{III}(A)$. Using everything above, we can compute $l_p, u_p \in \mathbf{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that

$$l_p \leq \text{ord}_p(\#\text{III}(A)?) \leq u_p.$$

For example, $l = 0$ and $u = \infty$! When $A \subset J_0(389)$ is the 20-dimensional factor, we find that $l_p = u_p = 0$ for all $p \neq 2, 5$. Also $l_2 = 11$ and $u_2 = 31$ and $l_5 = u_5 = 2$.

Computation. I computed *an* l_p and u_p for *all* 19608 A_f with $N \leq 2333$. I found 168 A_f of rank 0 such that $l_p > 0$ for some odd p . See Agashe-Stein, “Visible Evidence for the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture for Modular Abelian Varieties of Analytic Rank Zero” in this month’s AMS Math. Comp. (For $J_1(p)$ computations, see Conrad-Edixhoven-Stein.)

12 Computing the Group $\text{III}(A)$

One can sometimes use Mazur's notion of **visibility** as a computational tool to construct a provably-nontrivial subgroup of $\text{III}(A)$.

Theorem 12.1 (Agashe-Stein). *Let A and B be abelian subvarieties of an abelian variety C over \mathbf{Q} such that $A \cap B$ is finite and that A has rank 0. Suppose p is an odd prime such that $B[p] \subset A$ and p satisfies certain technical hypothesis (e.g., it doesn't divide any Tamagawa numbers). Then there is an inclusion*

$$B(\mathbf{Q})/pB(\mathbf{Q}) \hookrightarrow \text{III}(A).$$

Conjecture (Stein). If $A \subset J_0(N)$ is modular, then all of $\text{III}(A)$ can be **explicitly constructed** in terms of Mordell-Weil groups using appropriate generalizations of the above theorem and abelian varieties $B \subset J_0(NM)$ for multiples M of N .

Example of Constructing Elements of $\text{III}(A)$

Listing 12.2 (Sha of Order 5).

```
> D := Decomposition(J0(389));  
> B := D[1];  
> Rank(EllipticCurve(B));  
2  
> A := D[5];  
> B5 := Kernel(nIsogeny(B,5));  
> B5 subset A;  
true
```

Thus $E(\mathbf{Q})/5E(\mathbf{Q}) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ is a subgroup of $\text{III}(A)$. This conclusion assumes no conjectures.

(Done – Questions?)