## Math 581g, Fall 2011, Homework 2: SOLUTIONS

William Stein (wstein@uw.edu)

October 20, 2011

1. (Easy warm up) Suppose  $L = \mathbf{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbf{Z}\omega_2$  is a lattice in **C**. Prove that either  $\omega_1/\omega_2$  or  $\omega_2/\omega_1$  is in the complex upper half plane.

**Solution.** We have  $\omega_1/\omega_2 \notin \mathbf{R}$ , since  $\mathbf{R}L = \mathbf{C}$ . If  $\omega_1/\omega_2$  in the lower half plane, then its inverse is in the upper half plane, by basic algebra.

2. (Warm up) Let  $M_k$  denote the space of modular forms of weight k and level 1. Prove that if  $k \ge 2$  and  $f \in M_k$  is a constant function, then f = 0.

**Solution.** Since  $f \in M_k$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ , we have  $f(-1/z) = z^{-k}f(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathfrak{h}$ . If  $f \neq 0$  is constant, then  $z^{-k} = 1$  for all  $z \in \mathfrak{h}$ , which is a contradiction since  $k \geq 2$ .

3. Let *E* be an elliptic curve over **C** given by a Weierstrass equation  $y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ . Prove that the differential  $\omega = \frac{dx}{2y + a_1x + a_3}$  has no poles. You may follow the proof presented in class in the special case when  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$ . [Though you can read a complete proof of this in Silverman's book on elliptic curves, I encourage you not to.]

**Solution.** First we consider the behavior of  $\omega$  at  $\infty$ . The homogeneous equation is

$$Y^{2}Z + a_{1}XYZ + a_{3}YZ^{2} = X^{3} + a_{2}X^{2}Z + a_{4}XZ^{2} + a_{6}Z^{3},$$

and x = X/Z, y = Y/Z. Factoring out Z, we find that

$$X^{3} = Z(Y^{2} + a_{1}XY + a_{3}YZ - a_{2}X^{2} - a_{4}XZ - a_{6}Z^{2}) = Zu,$$

where u is a unit in the local ring  $R_P$  corresponding to the point P = (0:1:0). Thus  $x = u_0 X^{-2}$ , for a unit  $u_0 \in R_P$ , hence  $\operatorname{ord}_{\infty}(x) = -2$  and  $dx = -2u_0 X^{-3} dX$  has a pole of order 3 at infinity. Also,  $\operatorname{ord}_{\infty}(y) = -3$ , so using a basic property of ord, we find that  $\operatorname{ord}_{\infty}(2y + a_1x + a_3) = -3$ , since  $\operatorname{ord}_{\infty}(a_1x) \geq -2$  and  $\operatorname{ord}_{\infty}(a_3) \geq -2$ . It follows that  $\operatorname{ord}_{\infty}(\omega) = \operatorname{ord}_{\infty}(dx/(2y + a_1x + a_3)) = 0$ .

Next, we consider the behavior at the affine points P where  $2y + a_1x + a_3 = 0$ . Taking derivatives, we have

$$(2y + a_1x + a_3)dy + a_1ydx = (3x^2 + a_2x + a_4)dx,$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\omega = \frac{dx}{2y + a_1 x + a_3} = \frac{dy}{3x^2 + a_2 x + a_4 - a_1 y},\tag{0.1}$$

and

$$\frac{dx}{dy} = \frac{3x^2 + a_2x + a_4 - a_1y}{2y + a_1x + a_3}$$

The zeros of  $2y + a_1x + a_3$  are at the points where there is a vertical tangent, i.e., at the nontrivial 2-torsion points on E, so there are exactly 3 distinct zeros. Since  $\operatorname{ord}_{\infty}(2y + a_1x + a_3) = -3$ , these 3 distinct zeros occur with multiplicity 1. Also, since there are 3 distinct 2 torsion points (at which  $\frac{dx}{dy} \to \infty$ ), the function  $3x^2 + a_2x + a_4 - a_1y$  cannot vanish at any point where  $2y + a_1x + a_3$  vanishes. Since dy has no poles on the affine plane, and the denominator in the right hand side of (0.1) does not vanish at the points P, we see that  $\omega$  has no poles at the points P.

4. Let K be a number field and  $\ell$  a prime number. Prove that

$$K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_{\ell} \cong \prod_{\lambda \mid \ell} K_{\lambda}.$$

Here  $\lambda \mid \ell$  are the prime ideals of the ring of integers of K that contain  $\ell$  and  $K_{\lambda}$  is the completion of K at  $\lambda$ .

**Solution.** Let R be the ring of integers of K. We prove that  $R \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{\ell} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \mid \ell} R_{\lambda}$ . Using that R is a Dedekind domain, we can write (uniquely)  $\ell R = \prod_{\lambda \mid \ell} \lambda_i^{e_i}$ , and for each positive integer n, we have  $\ell^n R = \prod_{\lambda \mid \ell} \lambda_i^{e_i n}$ . Using the Chinese remainder theorem and various compatibilities between finite direct sums and limits, we have

$$R \otimes \mathbf{Z}_{\ell} \cong R \otimes \varprojlim_{n} \mathbf{Z}/\ell^{n} \mathbf{Z} \cong \varprojlim_{n} R \otimes \mathbf{Z}/\ell^{n} \mathbf{Z} \cong \varprojlim_{n} R/\ell^{n} R$$
$$\cong \varprojlim_{n} \bigoplus_{\lambda_{i}|\ell} R/\lambda_{i}^{e_{i}n} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda_{i}|\ell} \varprojlim_{n} R/\lambda_{i}^{e_{i}n} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda|\ell} R_{\lambda}.$$

The result then follows by tensoring both sides of the above isomorphism by **Q**.

5. Let *E* be the elliptic curve  $y^2 = x(x-1)(x+1)$ . Show that the representation  $\overline{\rho}$ : Gal $(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \to \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_2)$  that gives the action of the Galois group on *E*[2] is reducible, i.e., has an invariant subspace of dimension 1.

**Solution.** The representation sends each element  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$  to the identity matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus any nonzero proper subspace is invariant.

6. In the section of the textbook called *Modular forms as functions on lattices* we define maps between the set  $\mathcal{R}$  of lattices in  $\mathbf{C}$  and the set  $\mathcal{E}$  of isomorphism classes of pairs  $(E, \omega)$ , where E is an elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_E^1$  is a nonzero holomorphic differential 1-form on E. Prove that the maps in each direction defined in the book are bijections. (See Appendix A1.1 of Katz's *p*-adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms.)

**Solution.** If you understand Section 5 of Chapter VI of [Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*] then you can do this problem. In particular, given an elliptic curve E over  $\mathbf{C}$  and a nonzero differential  $\omega$  on E, we can use algebra to find a Weierstrass equation of the form  $y^2 = 4x^3 + ax + b$  with  $\omega = dx/y$ . The proof of [Prop. 5.2(a), loc. cit.] implies that if  $\Lambda = \{\int_{\gamma} \omega : \gamma \in H_1(E(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z})\}$ , then  $\mathbf{C}/\Lambda \cong E(\mathbf{C})$  via the analytic isomorphism induced by the Weierstrass function  $\wp_{\Lambda}$  associated to  $\Lambda$ . This implies surjectivity of  $\mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{E}$  and that the composition of the two maps is the identity on  $\mathcal{E}$ . The other key fact you need is [Cor. 5.1.1, loc. cit.], which ensures that  $\mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{E}$  is injective. (Silverman does not give a complete proof, but gives four references for the key fact that he omits.)

7. Prove that the number of subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  of index n is equal to the sum of the positive divisors of n. [Hint: first do the case n = p is prime first as a warm up, then reduce to the prime power case.]

**Solution.** First we reduce to the prime power case by applying the structure theorem for finite abelian groups to the abelian group  $\mathbf{Z}^2/L$  of order n. We may thus assume that the index of L in  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  is a prime power  $p^m$ . The lattices L of index  $p^m$  in  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  are in bijection with the Hermite normal form matrices of determinant  $p^m$ , which are easy to count. They are the one matrix  $\binom{p^m \ 0}{0}$ , the p matrices  $\binom{p^{m-1} \ b}{0}$  with  $0 \le b < p$ , the  $p^2$  matrices  $\binom{p^{m-2} \ b}{0}$  with  $0 \le b < p^2$ , etc., up through the  $p^m$  matrices  $\binom{1}{0} \frac{p^m}{p^m}$  with  $0 \le b < p^m$ . Summing, we find  $1 + p + p^2 + \cdots + p^m$  matrices, as claimed.