

Lecture 35: The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture, Part 2

William Stein

Math 124 HARVARD UNIVERSITY Fall 2001

1 The BSD Conjecture

Let E be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} given by an equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $p \nmid \Delta = -16(4a^3 + 27b^2)$, let $a_p = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. Let

$$L(E, s) = \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \frac{1}{1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s}}.$$

Theorem 1.1 (Breuil, Conrad, Diamond, Taylor, Wiles).

$L(E, s)$ extends to an analytic function on all of \mathbb{C} .

Conjecture 1.2 (Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer). *The Taylor expansion of $L(E, s)$ at $s = 1$ has the form*

$$L(E, s) = c(s - 1)^r + \text{higher order terms}$$

with $c \neq 0$ and $E(\mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Z}^r \times E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$.

A special case of the conjecture is the assertion that $L(E, 1) = 0$ if and only if $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is infinite. The assertion “ $L(E, 1) = 0$ implies that $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is infinite” is the part of the conjecture that secretly motivates much of my own research.

2 What is Known

On page 5 of Wiles’s paper, he discusses the history of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (Gross, Kolyvagin, Zagier, et al.). *Suppose that*

$$L(E, s) = c(s - 1)^r + \text{higher order terms}$$

with $r \leq 1$. Then the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture is true for E , that is, $E(\mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$.

I suspect that most elliptic curves satisfy the hypothesis of the above theorem, i.e., they have rank 0 or 1. For example, almost 96% of the “first 78198” elliptic curves have $r \leq 1$. I suspect that the curves with $r > 1$ have “density” 0 amongst all elliptic curves. This doesn’t mean that we are done. In practice it is often the curves with $r > 1$ that are interesting and useful, and experts can still be observed saying “almost nothing is known about the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture”.

3 How to Compute $L(E, s)$ with a Computer

3.1 Best Models

Let E be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} , defined by a Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6.$$

There are many choices of Weierstrass equations that define an elliptic curve that is “essentially the same” as E . E.g., you found others by completing the square. Among all of these, there is a best possible model, which is the one with smallest discriminant. It can be computed in PARI as follows:

```
? E = ellinit([0,0,0,-43,166]);
? E.disc
%61 = -6815744
? E = ellchangecurve(E,ellglobalred(E)[2])
%62 = [1, -1, 1, -3, 3, ...]
? E.disc
%63 = -1664
```

Thus $y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - x^2 - 3x + 3$ is a “better” model than $y^2 = x^3 - 43x + 166$.

WARNING: Some of the elliptic curves functions in PARI will *LIE* if you give as input an elliptic curve that is defined by a model that isn’t the best possible. These devious liars include `elltors`, `ellap`, `ellak`, and `ellseries`.

3.2 Formula for $L(E, s)$

As mentioned before, the PARI function `ellseries` can compute $L(E, s)$. I figured out how this function works, and explain it below.

Because E is modular, one can show that we have the following rapidly-converging series expression for $L(E, s)$, for $s > 0$:

$$L(E, s) = N^{-s/2} \cdot (2\pi)^s \cdot \Gamma(s)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cdot (F_n(s-1) - \varepsilon F_n(1-s))$$

where

$$F_n(t) = \Gamma\left(t+1, \frac{2\pi n}{\sqrt{N}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\pi n}\right)^{t+1}.$$

Here

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt$$

is the Γ -function (e.g., $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$), and

$$\Gamma(z, \alpha) = \int_\alpha^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt$$

is the *incomplete* Γ -function. The number N is called the *conductor* of E and is very similar to the discriminant of E ; it is only divisible by primes that divide the best possible discriminant of E . You can compute N using the PARI command `ellglobalred(E)[1]`.

As usual, for $p \nmid \Delta$, we have

$$a_p = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}),$$

for $r \geq 2$,

$$a_{p^r} = a_{p^{r-1}} a_p - p a_{p^{r-2}},$$

and $a_{nm} = a_n a_m$ if $\gcd(n, m) = 1$, (I won't define the a_p when $p \mid \Delta$, but it's not difficult.) Finally, ε depends only on E and is either $+1$ or -1 . I won't define ε either, but you can compute it in PARI using `ellrootno(E)`.

At $s = 1$, the formula can be massively simplified, and we have

$$L(E, 1) = (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} e^{-2\pi n/\sqrt{N}}.$$

This sum converges rapidly, because $e^{-2\pi n/\sqrt{N}} \rightarrow 0$ quickly as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

4 A Rationality Theorem

In the last lecture, I mentioned that it is incredibly difficult to say anything precise about $L(E, s)$, even with the above formulas. For example, it is a very deep theorem (Gross-Zagier) that there is an elliptic curve such that

$$L(E, s) = c(s-1)^3 + \text{higher terms},$$

and nobody has any idea how to prove that there is an elliptic curve with

$$L(E, s) = c(s-1)^4 + \text{higher terms}.$$

Fortunately, it is possible to decide whether or not $L(E, 1) = 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ be an elliptic curve. Let*

$$\Omega_E = \int_\gamma^\infty \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x^3 + ax + b}},$$

where γ is the largest real root of $x^3 + ax + b$. Then

$$\frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega_E} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

and it is straightforward in any particular case to bound the denominator of that rational number.

In practice, one computes this integral using the “Arithmetic-Geometric Mean”. In PARI, Ω_E is approximated by `E.omega[1]` (times a small power of 2).

Example 4.2. Let E be the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - 43x + 166$. We compute $L(E, 1)$ using the above formula and observe that $L(E, 1)/\Omega_E$ appears to be a rational number, as predicted by the theorem.

```
? E = ellinit([0,0,0,-43,166]);
? E = ellchangecurve(E, ellglobalred(E)[2]);
? eps = ellrootno(E)
%77 = 1
? N = ellglobalred(E)[1]
%78 = 26
? L = (1+eps) * sum(n=1,100, ellak(E,n)/n * exp(-2*Pi*n/sqrt(N)))
%79 = 0.6209653495490554663758626727
? Om = E.omega[1]
%80 = 4.346757446843388264631038710
? L/Om
%81 = 0.1428571428571428571428571427
? contfrac(L/Om)
%84 = [0, 7]
? 1/7.0
%85 = 0.1428571428571428571428571428
?elltors(E)
%86 = [7, [7], [[1, 0]]]
```

Notice that in this example, $L(E, 1)/\Omega_E = 1/7 = 1/\#E(\mathbb{Q})$. This is shadow of a more refined conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer.

Monday’s lecture will be filled with numerical examples and numerical evidence for the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. Wednesday’s lecture will be a review for the take-home **FINAL EXAM**.